App. F. IX-4

19 69-70
(CA)

File No. 380-49

Previous References.

Serial Nos. 23

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. One

Part B for March 70

SUBJECT

Mysore Legislative Assembly - Budget Session - March 1970. Question No 170 by Sri S. Rangaramaiah. Re- Discontinuance of Teachers & Gazetted Officers.

29/7/70

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Budget Session - March 1950.

*Q. No.170 Sri S. RANGARAMIAH (Mysore District-General)

Will the Government be pleased to state:

a) How many officers were given extensions and for what period and for what reasons;

b) how many years extension was given to the Principal of the of the Engineering College, the Medical Officer of the Victoria Hospital and the Director and Vice-Chairman of Bhadravathi Iron Works;

c) who recommended their extensions;

d) ~~has not~~ whether this grant of extension has not affected the prospects of other immediate subordinates;

e) ~~is the Government~~ whether they are aware that the grant of extension to the Principal of Engineering College has considerably affected the future prospects of the Professors for Mechanical and Electrical subjects?

SRR

Submitted

) Part a) of the question is vague, and unless a date from which the information is to be compiled and the Depts. if any to which the officers belong are indicated, it may not be easy to collect the particulars. It is for consideration whether the Speaker may be requested to have the question

M. L. A. Budget Session question No. 170

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 4048/CB of 195 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

more suitably framed, vide rule 13, Sub. rule (2)

2) If approved, it may also be brought to the notice of the Speaker that parts (d) and (e) of the question do not satisfy the conditions mentioned in (b), (f), (g) and (h) of Rule 11 and he may be requested to consider the desirability of disallowing these ~~question~~ two parts of the question.

Sd/ 9.3.50. Chief Secy

3) vsmR 9.3.50

4) MS 9.3.50 vsmR 10.3.50

Chief Minister

5) may be done

KLL 17/3/50

6) (c) also has to be disallowed. We cannot give an opinion on a matter.

MS 17.3.50 / smR 17.3.50

Submitted

7) Draft letter put up for approval.

Sd/ 18.3.50. Chief Secy

8) smR 18/3/50

9) MS 20/3

smR 20/3/50

Issued No. 12432/CB

Dt 20th March 1950

To The Secretary Mys Legislature

CHIEF SECRETARY 9330 TABLE GOVT. OF MYSORE

Minister 7330 Date 10.3.50

CHIEF SECRETARY 9395 20 MAR 1950 GOVT. OF MYSORE

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 4509—GBPM—1,000—28-10-40.

4048/CB

File No. M.L.A. Budget Session Question 170 of 195 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Co)
Submitted Letter was addressed to the Secretary Mysore Legislature recommending the disallowance the question but No Reply has yet been received. For orders whether the file may be closed in view the fact that L.A. Sessions are over or the Secy. reminded of it.
21/4.
Chief Secy) 21.4.50

21.4.50.

12) Remind please.

21-4-50.

21/4/50

13)
Submitted with draft of reminder for approval.
22/4.

22.4.50

14) Issue
DSMR
22/4/50

Issued No. 1184/CB dated 24/4/50 to the Secretary, Mysore Legislature.

Sw

15) ~~submitted with a draft reminder for approval.~~

16) Issue
DSMR
12/5/50

11/5/50.

~~issued reminder letter no. 1852/C.B.~~

11.5.50. ~~dated 12-5-50 to the Secy. Mysore Legislature~~

Sw

Received letter ~~dated~~ No. 305/LA dated 17/5/50 from Secretary Mysore Legislature stating That the grounds posited in the CB letter may be themselves proper replies to the question and as such, they would not serve as ground for disallowance.

X Flag 'N'

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVT. OF MYSORE

M.L.A. Budget Session Question No. 170.

[App. F. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 849/CB of 195

WD 07558—GPB—1,000—28-1-50

Serial No.

17) Chief Secretary's instructions on the PUD:

"Put up file please" Sd/- KS. 17/5/50.

Submitted with the file.

Sd/- 19/5. 18) Please put up draft reply.

19.5.50 19) Draft reply is put up for consideration

Sd/- 20/5. 22/5 Chief Secy) 20) Sd/- 22/5/50

22.5.50. 21) The letter from the Secy Lit. and the draft replies now proposed to be sent are for consideration.

Chief Minr) 23/5 Sd/- 23/5/50

22) I do not think the answers to (a) and (c) should be in the form proposed. It may be rightly stated that the Speaker who can decide whether questions are in accordance with the rules or not. For us to say it in the form of a reply may not be quite the right thing. The matter may be perused and the position got clarified.

26/5/50

26/5 Sd/- 26/5/50

CHIEF SECRETARY 23 MAY 1950 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Office of the Chief Minister
No. 1746
Date of Receipt 23/5

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

M.L.A. Budget Session - Question No 170.

File No. 849/CB of 195 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Submitted

23) It is for consideration whether the replies to clauses (a) and (c) of the question may be as follows:

"Yes; but this is incidental to the Public Service. It is a well-known rule that the prospects of individuals should yield precedence to the interests of the Public Service."

24) Revised draft reply put up for approval or other orders.

Chief Secy)

29.5.50.

25) DemR 29.5.50

CHIEF SECRETARY 1883 29 MAY 1950 GOVT. OF MYSORE

26) We have to address the Secretary again, as the C.M. has said that it should be taken up again, and the matter reclassified. Draft.

29.5.50.

DemR 30/5/50.

Submitted

27) Draft letter put up for approval.

Chief Secy)

30.5.50.

28) DemR 31/5/50

29) 31/5

DemR 1/6/50

CHIEF SECRETARY 1952 31 MAY 1950 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Issued No. 3790/CB dated 1/6/195 to the Secretary, Mysore Legislature, Bangalore

Sec

Serial No.

Recd. letter No. 623/L.A. dated 19th June '50 from the Secretary, Mysore legislature Stating that the disallowance of the question does not arise in view of its admission by the Speaker and that the parts D and E of the question, as suggested, have no grounds for disallowance as Rules stand at present.

30) Draft of reply put up on 29/5/50 is for approval or other orders.

1st 20/6.

31) Refer. para 26.

Chief Secy)

21/6/50

20.6.50.

Personal before is true. Refer. para 22 to 26

Chief Secy)

21/6/50

4/7/50

5.7.50.

5/7/50.

Replies sent to the office of the Mysore Legislature on 6/7/1950.

CHIEF SECRETARY 2624 21 JUN 1950 GOVT. OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR FINANCE & INDUSTRIES.

No. 1828

Date 21/6

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

12432/CS

20th March 50

xxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxx

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
BANGALORE.

SUBJECT: Mysore Legislative Assembly -
Budget Session - March 1950.
Q.No.170 by Sri S. Rangaramaiah
(Mysore District - General).

With reference to the above question, I am directed to state that Part (a) of the question is vague, and unless a date from which the information is to be compiled and the departments if any to which the officers belong are indicated, it would not be easy to collect the particulars. I am, therefore, to request that, if there is no objection, action may kindly be taken to have the question more suitably framed with reference to sub rule (2) of Rule 13 of the Mysore Legislative Assembly Rules.

I am also to request you kindly to bring to the notice of the Speaker that Part (c) seeks information of a confidential nature and that Parts (d) and (e) of the question do not satisfy the conditions mentioned in Clauses (b), (f), (g) and (h) of Rule 11, and to suggest that if there is no objection, the three parts of the question referred to above may kindly be disallowed.

The decision of the Speaker may kindly be communicated as early as possible.

Yours faithfully,

20/3/50

Chief Secretary to Government.

To 1243/49

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.
Budget Session - March 1950.

Q.No.170 Sri S. RANGARAMIAH (Mysore District-General)

With reference to the above question, I am directed to state that Part (a) of the question is vague, and unless a date from which the information is to be compiled and the departments if any to which the Officers belong are indicated, it ~~may~~ would not be easy to collect the particulars. I am therefore to request that, if there is no objection, action may kindly be taken to have the question more suitably framed with reference to sub rule (2) of Rule 13 of the Mysore Legislative Assembly Rules.

I am also to request you kindly to bring to the notice of the Speaker that Part (c) seeks information of a confidential nature ~~and to suggest that if there is no objection~~ and that Parts (d) and (e) of the question do not satisfy the conditions mentioned in clauses (b), (f), (g) and (h) of Rule 11, and to suggest that if there is no objection, these three parts of the question referred to above may kindly be disallowed.

//The decision of the Speaker may kindly be communicated as early as possible.

Yours faithfully,

16/2/18.

Chief Secretary to Govt.

18/3/50

18.3.50

1184/CB 24-4-50

Draft Reminder

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject: Mysore Legislative Assembly - Budget Session - March '50. Question No. 170 by Sri S Rangaramaiah (Mysore district general)

I am directed to invite your reference to this office letter No 12432/CB dated 20th March on the above subject and to request you to intimate the action taken thereon.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Secretary to Govt.

KBS

22.4.50.

1852/57

12th May /58

IMMEDIATE.

zxzxzxzxzx

zxzxzxzxzxzxz

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
BANAGALORE.

Sir,

SUB:- Mysore Legislative Assembly Budget Session - March 1950 - Question No.170 By Sri S. Rangaramaiah (Mysore District, General).

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No.12432/C.B., dated 20th March 1950 and to the subsequent reminder issued in letter No.1184/C.B. dated 24th April 1950 on the above subject and to request you to intimate the action taken thereon.

Yours faithfully,

12/5/50

For Chief Secretary to Govt.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to. 4048 C.B.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19 .

Date of issue..... 12.5.50

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

immediate File No.

Serial No.

No. 1852 | 61

To

The Secy, Mysore Legislature, Bangalore

Sir

Subject: Mysore Legislative Assembly Budget Session – March 1950 – Question No. 170 by Sri S. Rangaramaiah (Mysore Dist general)

I am directed to refer to this office letter no. 12432 | C.B. dated 20.3.50 and to the subsequent reminder issued in letter no. 1184 | C.B. dated 24.4.50 on the above subject and to request you to intimate the action taken thereon.

M. F.
KMP
12/5/50
For Chief Secy to Govt

Kly

4.5.50.

849/CB

MYSORE LEGISLATURE.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE LEGISLATURE,
OLD PUBLIC OFFICES,

No. 305/LA. *Bangalore, dated* 17th May 1950.

From

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature.



Put up file please 17.5.50

17.5.50 Issued 17.5.50.

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.12432/CB dated 20th March 1950 and the subsequent reminder No.1852/CB dated 12th May 1950, suggesting the disallowances of some clauses of Question No.170 tabled by Sri S. Rangaramiah for the reasons mentioned therein, I have the honour to state that while the grounds set out in your letter may be themselves proper replies to the question, they would not be ground for disallowance.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary,
Mysore Legislature.

SRR

KBR

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Mysore Legislative Assembly - Budget Session - March 1950.
Question No.170 by Sri S.Rangaramiah (Mysore District - General).

Diary No. of letter replied to 849/C.B.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(C.B.)
the *19* .

Date of issue 1.6.50.

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 3790/CS

To
The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature, BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 305/L.A. dated 17th May 1950, I am directed to state that as pointed out in para 2 of this Office letter No.12432/C.B. dated 20th March 1950, parts (d) and (e) of the question do not satisfy the conditions mentioned in clauses (b) (f) (g) and (h) of Rule 11 of the Mysore Legislative Rules and the Standing orders of the Mysore Legislative Assembly. If the Hon'ble Speaker agrees with this view, it would be more appropriate for these two parts of the question to be disallowed by him than for a reply to be furnished by Govt. as suggested pointing out the rules as a ground for not furnishing a reply.

The matter may kindly be clarified.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Secretary to Government.

31/5

xmk
30/5/50.

WD 06818—GPB—25,000—9-7-49

30.5.50.

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

3790/47

~~30~~ 1st June 50

xxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxx

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
Bangalore.

Subject: Mysore Legislative Assembly - Budget Session - March 1950. Question No.170 by Sri.S.Rangaramiah (Mysore District - General).

...

With reference to your letter No.305/L.A. dated 17th May 1950, I am directed to state that as pointed out in para 2 of this office letter No.12432/C.B. dated 20th March 1950, parts (d) and (e) of the question do not satisfy the conditions mentioned in clauses (b) (f) (g) and (h) of Rule 11 of the Mysore Legislative Rules and the Standing Orders of the Mysore Legislative Assembly. If the Hon'ble Speaker agrees with this view, it would be more appropriate for these two parts of the question to be disallowed by him than for a reply to be furnished by Government as suggested pointing out the rules as a ground for not furnishing a reply. It would seem to be more appropriate for the Speaker to give a ruling about the interpretation of a rule than for Government to do so.

The matter may kindly be clarified.

Yours faithfully,

1.6.50.

Chief Secretary to Government.

LC/2

1404/CB

MYSORE LEGISLATURE.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE LEGISLATURE,
OLD PUBLIC OFFICES,

No. 623/L.A. Bangalore, dated 19th June 1950.
19th. 6.50.

From

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Sir,

SUBJECT: Disallowance of some clauses of Question No.170 tabled by Sri S.Rangaramiah for the Budget Session of the Legislative Assembly, 1950.



With reference to your letter No.3790/C.B., dated 1st June 1950, on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the question has been already admitted by the Hon'ble Speaker and its disallowance does not now arise. This office letter No.305/L.A., dated 17-5-1950, did not suggest that any ruling about the interpretation of a rule should be given by the Government. Orders of disallowances can only be made by the Hon'ble Speaker. That letter only suggested that if the Government considered that in the absence of a date being specified in (a) no information could be collected and if the Government considered that (e) sought information of a confidential nature these statements themselves may be proper reasons to the question but not grounds for disallowance as rules stand at present.

Yours faithfully,

SECRETARY,
Mysore Legislature.

Bs.

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Budget Session - Mar.50.

*Q.No.170: Sri S. Rangaramiah (Mysore District - General)

Will the Government be pleased to state:

ANSWERS.

(a) How many officers were given extensions and for what period and for what reasons;

The question is vague and unless a date from which the information is to be compiled and the departments, if any, to which the officers belong are indicated, it would not be easy to collect and furnish particulars.

(b) How many years extension was given to the Principal of the Engineering College, the Medical Officer of the Victoria Hospital and the Director and Vice-Chairman of Bhadravathi Iron Works;

i) Sri J.P.Das, Principal, Engineering College, was granted an extension of service for a period of two years from 26th November 1949.

ii) Sri N.A. Iyengar, Medical Officer, Victoria Hospital was granted an extension for one year from 31st March 1949 and further extension for one year from 31st March 1950.

iii) Sri P.R. Balakrishna, Director, Mysore Iron Works was granted an extension of service for a period of one year from 9th October 1948 and a further extension for two years from 9th October 1949.

(c) Who recommended their extensions:

This cannot be disclosed.

(d) Whether this grant of extension has not affected the prospects of other immediate subordinates;

(e) whether they are aware that the grant of extension to the Principal of Engineering College has considerably affected the future prospects of the Professors for Mechanical and Electrical subjects?

Yes; but this is incidental to Public Service. It is a well-known rule that the prospects of individuals should yield precedence to the interests of the Public - Service.

168
6.7.50.

Chief Secretary to Government.

29.5.50.

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Budget Session - March 1950.

*Q.No.170: Sri S. Rangaramiah (Mysore District - General)

Will the Government be pleased to state ...

Answers.

(a) How many officers were given extensions and for what period and for what reasons;

The question is vague and unless a date from which the information is to be compiled and the departments, if any, to which the officers belong are indicated, it would not be easy to collect and furnish particulars.

(b) How many years extension was given to the Principal of the Engineering College, the Medical Officer of the Victoria Hospital, and the Director and Vice-Chairman of Bhadravati Iron Works;

i) Sri J.P.Das, Principal, Engineering College, was granted an extension of service for a period of two years from 26th November 1949.

ii) Sri N.A.Iyengar, Medical Officer, Victoria Hospital was granted an extension for one year from 31st March 1949 and a further extension for one year from 31st March 1950.

iii) Sri P.R.Balakrishna, Director, Mysore Iron Works was granted an extension of service for a period of one year from 9th October 1948 and a further extension for two years from 9th October 1949.

(c) Who recommended their extensions;

This cannot be disclosed.

(d) Whether this grant of extension has not affected the prospects of other immediate subordinates;

(e) Whether they are aware that the grant of extension to the Principal of Engineering College has considerably affected the future prospects of the Professors for Mechanical and Electrical subjects?

Yes; but this is incidental to Public Service. It is a well-known rule that the prospects of individuals should yield precedence to the interests of the Public Service.

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

NVR/-

KHR